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ABSTRACT

Available surface drifter data are analyzed to determine the characteristics of the North Hawaiian Ridge Current (NHRC) and its relation to the ocean circulation around the Hawaiian waters. The NHRC is found to exist as a mean entity along the coasts of the Hawaiian Islands. It originates as a northern branch of westward moving interior flow and flows coherently along the islands at an average speed of 0.10–0.15 m s\(^{-1}\). The NHRC veers westward at the northern tip of the Hawaiian Islands, and its subsequent path is not influenced by the presence of the submerged Hawaiian Ridge. This finding does not support the theory that the NHRC is forced by westward propagating, baroclinic Rossby waves reflecting off the Hawaiian Ridge. Using a 2½-layer reduced gravity model of the North Pacific, it is shown that the mean NHRC is due primarily to the mean rather than the time-varying wind forcing. The NHRC exists due to the imbalance between the interior Sverdrup transport and the net southward transport, constrained by the presence of the Hawaiian Islands. The path and transport of the observed NHRC are found to be consistent with the flow pattern proposed by this theory.

1. Introduction

The Hawaiian Islands are part of the mostly submerged Hawaiian Ridge located in the center of the subtropical gyre of the North Pacific Ocean (Fig. 1). The Hawaiian Ridge is oriented in a nearly zonal direction and extends northwestward for more than 2000 km from the island of Hawaii (20°N, 156°E) to the Midway Islands (30°N, 180°W). While a nearly continuous barrier below 2000 m, the Hawaiian ridge is extremely porous above the 500-m depth (Roden 1991). As depicted in Fig. 2, it is only around the Hawaiian Islands, including the islands of Hawaii, Maui, Molokai, Oahu, and Kauai, that the ridge extends near and above the sea surface. Studies using historical hydrographic data (e.g., Emery and Dewar 1982) show that the main thermocline around the Hawaiian Ridge is typically 300–400 m deep, suggesting that the Hawaiian Islands are the only closely connected islands likely to interact directly with the wind-driven subtropical gyre of the North Pacific.

One interesting feature observed around the Hawaiian Islands is the existence of a northward flowing boundary current. The possible existence of such a boundary current was first suggested by Mysak and Magaard (1983) in their theory on the interaction between baroclinic Rossby waves and island ridges. In accordance with this theory and by using Transpac XBT data in the vicinity of the Hawaiian Islands, White (1983) noted a narrow band of northwestward shear flow extending along the ridge from 19.5° to 21.5°N. The band had a width of 75–100 km and a relatively near-surface (100/400 dbar) speed of about 0.45 m s\(^{-1}\). Mysak and Magaard (1983) and White (1983) named this northwestward current the North Hawaiian Ridge Current (NHRC).

The existence of this sheared northwestward boundary current was also observed by Roden (1980) and by Talley and deSzoeke (1986) based on closely spaced hydrographic casts. In the Talley and deSzoeke study, they found that the maximum calculated geostrophic speeds were about 0.60 m s\(^{-1}\). Because the hydrographic surveys lacked alongridge information, it is not clear whether the observed oscillations in dynamic topography are associated with closed eddy circulations or with boundary currents flowing along the ridge. Talley and deSzoeke (1986) argued for the latter on the basis of water mass properties.

A renewed interest in the NHRC has emerged in recent years. Based on four densely spaced XBT surveys northeast of the Hawaiian Islands, Price et al. (1994) showed that the flow along the Hawaiian Ridge is dominated by an energetic field of mesoscale eddies, with no clear evidence for a steady ridge current. A similar conclusion is also reached by Bingham (1997) in his analysis of high-resolution XBT sections from Honolulu to San Francisco; of eight available sections, only two indicated the northwestward flow along the Hawaiian Islands. Interpreting dynamic slopes from individual XBT transects, however, requires caution. Using re-
peated hydrographic casts obtained at station ALOHA (22°45′N, 158°0′W) from the Hawaii Ocean Time-series (HOT) cruises, Chiswell (1994) showed that within a 36-hour time period, the near-surface dynamic height values can vary up to 8 dyn cm due to energetic internal tides. This value is about 30% of the annual range of the dynamic height values at this station. Chiswell’s result demonstrates that long-term averaging of observational data are needed in order to avoid aliasing by the internal tide signals.

One long-term observation that reveals the NHRC existing as a mean current is recently made by Firing (1996) based on repeated shipboard ADCP measurements from 32 HOT cruises over a 5-yr period (see Fig. 1 for the location of the repeat section). Figure 3 shows the vertical profile of the averaged alongridge velocity profile from the ADCP measurements. The mean NHRC has a maximum core of 0.16 m s⁻¹ and its magnitude decreases significantly at 280 m, the level of the regional sharp thermocline. The northwestward transport across this section (down to 340 m) is 3.24 Sv (Sv = 10⁶ m³ s⁻¹) and the alongsection transport is 0.42 Sv shoreward. As from the XBT observations, the velocity vectors from the individual cruises are usually very noisy, obscuring the presence of the mean NHRC (Firing 1996).

An early attempt to explain the existence of the NHRC, as we noted above, was put forth by Mysak and Magaard (1983). Using the potential vorticity equation, they showed that baroclinic planetary waves, with west-
ward propagating energy, would reflect on the northeastern side of the ridge, rectify with short reflected Rossby waves, and give rise to a mean transport, in the form of bands of currents flowing in alternate directions parallel to the ridge. This theory was later extended by Oh and Magaard (1984) to include the effect of lateral friction and by Graef and Magaard (1994) to include higher-order nonlinear effects. In all these theoretical studies, the ridge has been assumed to extend above the sea surface and to be infinitely long. As most of the Hawaiian Ridge, as we noted in Fig. 2, exists below the permanent thermocline, the validity of this theory of wave interaction in explaining the presence of the NHRC needs further investigation.

White and Walker (1985), on the other hand, postulated that the Hawaiian Ridge provides a western boundary for the eastern Pacific basin, which intercepts the wind-driven circulation by forming western-boundary-current-like flows along its northern side. As the focus of their study was on the ridge’s influence upon the western North Pacific, they provided no discussion to substantiate this postulation.

Our present study has two objectives. The first objective is to clarify the horizontal pattern of the mean NHRC through analysis of available surface drifter data. Although many of the measurements mentioned above detected the NHRC along several cross-ridge sections, a comprehensive picture of the mean NHRC, such as where it originates, how coherent it is along the Hawaiian Ridge, and where it terminates, is still lacking. Such a picture, as we will show in subsequent sections, is crucial to verifying the formation mechanism for the NHRC proposed in this study. The second objective of this study is to understand the formation mechanism of the mean NHRC. By carrying out a series of numerical experiments, we will address questions of what determines the transport of the mean NHRC and how important the incident planetary waves are in forming the mean NHRC.

It is worth emphasizing that the interaction between the large-scale ocean circulation and the isolated islands is not unique to the Hawaiian Islands. New Zealand in the South Pacific Ocean and Madagascar in the Indian Ocean are other locations where this interaction is likely to be important. Thus, a solid understanding of the NHRC in the North Pacific Ocean will help to shed light on how this interaction works in the other oceans as well.

The presentation of this study is organized as follows. In section 2, we will start with a brief description of the analysis of surface drifter data. This is followed by the presentation of a detailed horizontal picture of the mean circulation around the Hawaiian Islands. Section 3 describes the North Pacific model used in this study. Details of the model results will be presented in section 4, with their verification being made through comparisons with available observations. In section 5, we propose a formation mechanism for the NHRC within a simple theoretical framework. This mechanism is found to be consistent with the available observations and the model results. We will summarize the results from the present study in section 6.

2. Analysis of surface drifter data

As part of the WOCE Surface Velocity Program and NOAA the Pelagic Fisheries Research Program, a large number of surface drifters were deployed in the tropical and subtropical Pacific Ocean (Niiler et al. 1995). These Lagrangian drifters consist of a fiberglass surface float containing a satellite (ARGOS) transmitter, a subsurface float, and a drogue of diameter 1 m and length 7 m, centered at 15-m depth. In this study, we compiled all available satellite fixes in the region from 10° to 30°N, 180° to 130°W (see Fig. 4).

Quality evaluation of the fixes was performed using the two-step scheme described by Hansen and Poulain (1996) in which raw velocities are calculated by finite differencing between each fix and “bad” values are defined as those outside a predetermined range. For our study, we flagged fixes as “bad,” which resulted in a velocity beyond four standard deviations from the median. Following this quality check procedure, the irregularly spaced fixes were interpolated to 1/4-day intervals using the kriging method (Hansen and Herman 1989). A detailed description on applying this method to our drifter dataset is included in the appendix.

The set of interpolated positions in the Hawaiian Island region spanned the period from 1986 to 1996 and contained a total of 165.7 drifter-years. Currents halfway between each pair of positions were estimated by finite differencing. The mean and variance of the currents were then calculated on a 0.5° by 0.5° grid, with all observations equally weighted in a smoothing window of size 3° zonally by 1° meridionally centered at each grid point. To reduce the noise in poorly sampled areas, currents were not estimated at grid points where less than 100 drifter-days of data fell within the smoothing window. Mean currents were also not estimated where the median zonal and meridional currents were not significantly different from zero at the 90% confidence level, assuming a Student’s t distribution to the drifter-derived velocities.

Figure 5 shows the drifter-derived mean flow field. Currents exceeding 0.25 m s⁻¹ are found in the North Equatorial Current (NEC) south of the Hawaiian Islands. At 13°N, the average speed of the NEC is 0.17 m s⁻¹. Between 18° and 22°N, the NEC is strongly influenced by the Hawaiian Islands. In this region, southwestward propagating anticyclonic eddies, clearly visible in individual drifter tracks, intensify the NEC and increase the variance (Mitchum 1995). In the lee of the islands, two elongated gyres appear, separated by a countercurrent at 19°N extending from 170° to 158°W, which we call the Hawaiian Lee Counter Current (HLCC). By inspecting individual drifter tracks, this cyclonic/anti-
A cyclonic gyre pair appears to be formed by the superposition of westward propagating eddies generated in the lee of the Hawaiian Islands.

East of the Hawaiian Islands, the impinging NEC flows due westward. This impinging flow bifurcates east of the island of Hawaii, with a branch of the current—the NHRC—continuing northwestward along the island ridge. The NHRC averages 0.08 to 0.12 m s\(^{-1}\), with maximum currents of \(O(0.15-0.20 \text{ m s}^{-1})\). The width of the NHRC is approximately 100 km. The ridge current appears to veer westward northwest of the island of Kauai, passing over the submerged Hawaiian Ridge (the dashed contours in Fig. 5 denote the 2000-m isobaths).

The circulation pattern derived from the drifter data in Fig. 5 suggests that the NHRC has its origin in the interior westward inflow. It is coherent along the Hawaiian Islands, but appears to be less influenced by the submerged Hawaiian Ridge north of 23°N. This latter result is consistent with the notion that the Hawaiian Ridge there exists mostly below the permanent thermocline (Fig. 2) and does not act as an effective, solid barrier to the mean NHRC. As such, previous theories regarding the Hawaiian Ridge as a solid barrier and the NHRC as a continuous current along the ridge may need modification.

3. A circulation model of the North Pacific

To understand the formation of the NHRC, we adopt in this study a 2½-layer, reduced gravity model that covers the tropical and subtropical oceans in the North Pacific.
Pacific. Though simple in its formulation, the 2½-layer reduced gravity model is suitable to study the interior wind-driven circulation and the propagation of baroclinic Rossby waves (McCreary and Lu 1994). As we noted in the introduction, these dynamics are most likely essential in the interaction of the Hawaiian Islands with the interior subtropical circulation.

In the 2½-layer reduced-gravity system (which assumes the third layer is inert), equations governing the upper two layers of the ocean can be written as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x} u_1 + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} v_1 - f v_1 &= -g_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x} - g_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\tau_1 - \tau_2}{\rho_h} + A_h \nabla^2 u_1, \\
\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x} u_1 + \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} v_1 + f u_1 &= -g_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial y} - g_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x} + \frac{\tau_1 - \tau_2}{\rho_h} + A_h \nabla^2 v_1, \\
\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (h_1 u_1) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (h_1 v_1) &= w_c, \\
\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} - f v_2 &= -g_2 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\tau_1}{\rho_h h_2} + A_h \nabla^2 u_2, \\
\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y} + f u_2 &= -g_2 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\tau_1}{\rho_h h_2} + A_h \nabla^2 v_2, \\
\frac{\partial h_2}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (h_2 u_2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (h_2 v_2) &= -w_c.
\end{align*}
\]

Equations (1)–(6) are solved in finite difference form using the energy-conserving scheme proposed by Sadourny (1975). The Arakawa C-grid is used in defining the staggered positions for the dependent variables \(u, v,\) and \(h\) (Arakawa and Lamb 1977). Along the model’s open boundaries, no-normal flow and free-slip conditions are used. No-normal flow and non-slip conditions are used along the coast or marginal seas (depth < 200 m). To focus on the NHRC, the model grid has at its finest a meridional resolution of 1/12° latitude and a zonal resolution of 1/10° longitude around the Hawaiian Islands, and the grid size decreases gradually away from the islands to 0.5° in latitude and 1° in longitude at the model boundary regions.

The following two criteria are considered in choosing the mean thickness and density values for the 2½-layer system. First, we require that the modeled surface layer, which is under direct influence of surface wind, has a depth structure matching the observed 26.0 \(\sigma_t\) surface. According to Huang and Qiu (1994), this isopycnal surface is the densest surface that outcrops in the subtropical North Pacific. Second, we require that the first-mode baroclinic Rossby waves in the model propagate at speeds similar to those inferred from XBT and satellite altimetry observations (e.g., Kessler 1990; Van Woert and Price 1993; Mitchum 1995). The values chosen based on these two criteria are \(h_{10} = 230 \text{ m}, h_{20} = 370 \text{ m}, g'_{13} = 0.0353 \text{ m} \text{s}^{-2},\) and \(g'_{23} = 0.0118 \text{ m} \text{s}^{-2}.)\)

In the whole model domain, the horizontal eddy viscosity coefficient is 500 m² s⁻¹ except near the northern boundary where \(A_h\) is increased linearly to 1500 m² s⁻¹ from...
30° to 38°N. The increased $A_h$ value is used to suppress instabilities occurring in the Kuroshio/Kuroshio Extension regions.

The model ocean, which was initially at rest, was spun up by the monthly climatological wind of Hellerman and Rosenstein (1983). In addition to this base case (i.e., the time-varying forcing case), we also ran a case in which the model ocean is forced by the annually averaged Hellerman and Rosenstein wind (the mean forcing case). In both cases, the annually averaged height and flow fields reached quasi-steady state after 12 years of model integration. All our following analyses will be based on the model output from the 13th year.

4. Model results and their implication

Before discussing circulation in the region of interest, it is important to verify the model’s representation of basin-scale circulation features. Figure 6a shows the annually averaged upper-layer thickness field derived from the base model case. For comparison, the upper-layer thickness inferred from the Levitus (1982) climatological dataset is shown in Fig. 6b. The model result appears to simulate quite well the large-scale pattern of the observed upper-layer thickness field. The largest discrepancy between the two figures occurs in the Kuroshio Extension region where the width of the boundary current extension is unrealistically broadened by the increased eddy viscosity coefficient along the model’s northern boundary. To the east of the Hawaiian Ridge, the agreement between the model and the observations is, in general, very favorable; for example, the isolines of the upper-layer thickness east of the Hawaiian Islands bear the similar impinging angles and gradients. This favorable agreement is important because a realistic basin-scale circulation is the prerequisite for our following discussion of the regional current patterns around the Hawaiian Islands.
Figure 7 shows the detailed upper-layer circulation pattern from the base model case in the vicinity of the Hawaiian Islands. Similar to the observations shown in Fig. 5, the mean inflow east of the Hawaiian Islands is nearly due westward. This inflow bifurcates east of the Big Island, joining the North Equatorial Current as its southern branch and the NHRC, its northern branch. The modeled NHRC eventually veers and continues westward north of the island of Kauai, a result also suggested by the surface drifter data. The overall strength of the circulation in the model is about 20%–30% weaker than in Fig. 5. This is likely because the surface drifter data represent the near-surface flows, whereas Fig. 7 gives the averaged flow pattern in the upper thermocline, which has a depth 250–300 m in this region (Fig. 6a).

As the circulation pattern inferred from the surface drifter data does not resolve the cross-stream profile of the mean NHRC, a more detailed comparison is made along the transect of the HOT cruises where multiyear ADCP data are available (Firing 1996). Using the ADCP result shown in Fig. 3, we average the cross-transect velocities in the upper 280 m, a depth corresponding to the modeled upper-layer thickness in this region. The result is plotted in Fig. 8 with crosses. The solid line in Fig. 8 shows the cross-transect velocity profile derived from the model. Clearly, both the magnitude and the velocity profile of the modeled mean NHRC match well the densely sampled ADCP result.

In contrast with the east of the Hawaiian Islands, the present model is less successful simulating the circulation leeward of the islands. The mean flow there is generally more quiescent in the model than obtained from the surface drifter data (Fig. 5). The eastward HLCC, which exists in Fig. 5 in the band of 19°–20°N, is absent in the modeled mean flow field. This discrepancy may not be fully explained, as we noted above, by the near-surface nature of the surface drifter data. A possibly more important factor is the regional wind forcing in the lee of the Hawaiian Islands. Because the Ha-
Fig. 7. Annually averaged surface layer flows around the Hawaiian Islands. The model ocean in this case is forced by the monthly, climatological wind of Hellerman and Rosenstein (1983). Dashed contours denote the 200-m isobaths; areas shallower than 200 m are considered land in the model.

Hawaiian Islands extend into the lower troposphere, the trade winds around the islands form distinct shear layers at the northern and southern boundaries of each island. These wind shears tend to generate mesoscale eddies on the lee side of the Hawaiian Islands (Patzert 1969), and the westward translation of these eddies are possibly the cause for the observed eastward mean flow in the lee of the Big Island, Hawaii. This regional wind effect is not included in the climatological wind data of Hellerman and Rosenstein (1983). As the focus of this study is on the NHRC, this problem associated with the lee-ward wind forcing will be left to future studies.

In this case forced by the monthly varying surface wind, annual baroclinic Rossby waves are continuously excited along the North America coast as well as in the interior ocean (see Fig. 9). It is worth checking if the energy level of these modeled waves matches that in the real ocean. To do so, we computed the root-mean-squared (rms) sea surface height anomalies from the model output (Fig. 10a). In the region between the North American coast and the Hawaiian Islands, typical values of the rms anomalies are \( O(0.02\text{--}0.03 \text{ m}) \). From Fig. 9, it is clear that the anomalies are mostly due to the propagation of annual baroclinic Rossby waves. For comparison, Fig. 10b shows the rms sea surface height anomalies derived from the first 3-year TOPEX/POSEIDON altimeter data (Oct 1992–Sep 1995). In the interior ocean east of the Hawaiian Islands, the rms anomalies are \( O(0.04\text{--}0.06 \text{ m}) \), which are twice as large as the model result. As far as the annual baroclinic Rossby waves are concerned, the difference between the two results are possibly exaggerated because the altimeter data contains the sea surface height signals other than annual baroclinic waves. In fact, the analysis of XBT data by Kang and Magaard (1980) showed that the sea surface height amplitude associated with the annual baroclinic Rossby waves is in the range of this study (see their Fig. 9). Given these comparisons, it is reasonable to assume that the amplitudes of the westward propagating, annual Rossby waves are not overly underestimated in the model.

In light of the Mysak and Magaard (1983) theory that emphasized the roles of the annual baroclinic Rossby waves, a question arising naturally is how important are these waves in forming the mean circulation around the Hawaiian Islands. To address this question, we conduct a companion model run in which the model ocean is forced throughout by the annually averaged Hellerman and Rosenstein (1983) wind data. In this mean forcing case, the rms sea surface height anomalies are on the order of \( 10^{-2} \text{ m} \) (see Fig. 10c), indicating the absence of recognizable baroclinic Rossby waves. Figure 11 shows the upper-layer circulation pattern derived from this mean forcing case; surprisingly, it displays a mean flow pattern very similar to that of the time-varying forcing case (Fig. 7). In fact, comparing the velocity profiles of the mean NHRC along the ADCP section gives almost identical results from the two model runs (see the dashed and the solid lines in Fig. 8).

Given the fact that the model simulation reproduces many observed features of the mean NHRC and assuming this agreement is not coincidental, the result from the above model runs suggests that the time-varying wind forcing and its resultant planetary wave motions are not essential in forming the mean NHRC.

5. Formation mechanism of the NHRC

What physics are then responsible for the formation of the mean NHRC? In addition, what determines the volume transport of the NHRC, estimated at 3.24 Sv
from the long-term ADCP observations (Fig. 3)? Because the time-dependent dynamics are not essential in this problem, as discussed in the last section, some insights can be gained by considering the “Island Rule” of Godfrey (1989), a theory applicable to the steady and large-scale wind-driven circulation.

When an island exists in steady ocean circulation, mass conservation requires that the meridional transport across individual zonal sections between the island and the ocean’s eastern boundary be constant. As detailed by Godfrey (1989), this constant transport, $T_o$, is solely determined by the surface wind stress values along the contour that circulates the western flanks of the island and the eastern boundary, and along the latitudes of the island’s northern and southern tips. Put mathematically, the island rule states

$$T_o = \int l \cdot \tau_w \, dl,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $l$ denotes the line segment along the integral contour, $\tau_w$ is the tangential wind stress along the contour, and $f_0$ and $f_T$ are the Coriolis parameters at the latitudes of the island’s northern and southern tips, respectively.

In applying Godfrey’s island rule to the model, we assume that the Hawaiian Islands extending from Hawaii to Kauai act as a single island. This assumption is justifiable as the meridional scale of all the channels among the islands is no wider than the boundary current width and the modeled through-channel flows are very weak (see Fig. 7). The dashed line in Fig. 12 shows the relevant, integral contour estimating $T_o$. Notice that the total transport $T_o$ east of the islands comprises two components: an interior Sverdrup flow and a western boundary current;

$$T_o = T_{\text{Sverdrup}} + T_{\text{WBC}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

As defined in (8), $T_o$ is a global quantity that depends upon the surface wind along the integral contour TSRQ. The interior Sverdrup transport

$$T_{\text{Sverdrup}} = \frac{1}{\rho \beta} \int_{x_e}^{x_w} \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{\tau}_n \, dx,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

on the other hand, depends on the latitude under consideration. In (10), $\beta$ is the $y$ derivative of the Coriolis parameter, $x_e$ the longitudinal position of the eastern boundary, and $x_w$ the offshore edge of the western boundary current. Along individual zonal sections, Eq. (9) implies that the mass flux imbalance between $T_o$ and the latitude-dependent $T_{\text{Sverdrup}}$ is compensated by the existence of a western boundary current.

To assess this idea quantitatively, we calculate $T_o$ and the $T_{\text{Sverdrup}}$ value along 21.7°N using the annually averaged Hellerman and Rosenstein wind data. This latitude is chosen because the boundary current transport there has been directly measured by ADCPs (Firing 1996). The result, summarized in Table 1, suggests that a compensating northward boundary current with a magnitude of 3.1 Sv is needed to close the mass balance along this latitude. This transport value agrees well with the ADCP measurements of 3.24 Sv.

Using the model data along 21.7°N (Fig. 13), we can also directly estimate the total meridional transport and the transport partitioning between the interior Sverdrup
Fig. 10. Root-mean-squared sea surface height anomaly fields from (a) the base model case, (b) the first 3 years of TOPEX/POSEIDON altimeter data, and (c) the mean forcing model case. In constructing (b) to suppress small-scale instrument noise, the original alongtrack surface height data are low-pass filtered with a half-power point at 52 km (see Qiu 1995). The contour interval is 0.01 m in (a) and (b) and 0.0005 m in (c).
Fig. 11. Same as in Fig. 7 except, in this case, the model ocean is driven by the mean Hellerman and Rosenstein wind.

flow and the western boundary current. The result, presented in the second row of Table 1, reveals that the model values are generally within the 90% range of those predicted by the island rule theory. That the modeled interior Sverdrup flow matches well with the estimation using Eq. (10) is also consistent with the recent study by Hautala et al. (1994), who showed that the observed interior southward transport in the subtropical North Pacific is in Sverdrup balance. The modeled western boundary current along 21.7°N is 3.3 Sv, again agreeing favorably with the ADCP measurements.

The agreement between the model and the simple theory shown in Table 1 suggests that the mean NHRC behaves as a western boundary current, as previously suggested by White and Walker (1985). The existence of the NHRC owes to the imbalance between the interior Sverdrup transport and the southward transport that is regulated by the island rule due to the presence of the Hawaiian Islands within the subtropical circulation of the North Pacific. It is worth noting that the Godfrey's island rule has been previously shown by de Szoëke (1987) to explain well the mean ocean circulation around New Zealand in the South Pacific Ocean.

6. Summary

The objective of this study has been to characterize and understand the nature of the ocean circulation around the Hawaiian waters. Our focus has been on the existence and formation mechanisms of a boundary current flowing northwestward along the island ridge: the North Hawaiian Ridge Current. Although the presence of the NHRC has been recognized by many previous investigators since it was named by White (1983) and Mysak and Magaard (1983), a comprehensive picture regarding the NHRC as part of the ocean circulation around the Hawaiian waters was lacking. One of the goals of this study was to construct such a picture in order to fully understand the dynamics underlying the NHRC.

By compiling and analyzing the available surface drifter data in the Hawaiian waters, we found that the
NHRC does exist as a mean entity along the northeast coasts of the Hawaiian Islands. It has an average speed of 0.10–0.15 m s\(^{-1}\) and a width \(O(100\text{km})\); this result is consistent with the picture of the mean NHRC obtained by Firing (1996) based on multiyear ADCP measurements north of the island of Oahu. The result of the drifter data further reveals that the NHRC is the northern branch of a westward moving interior flow, which bifurcates east of the Big Island. The NHRC flows coherently along the Hawaiian Islands and seems to continue as a westward flowing current northwest of the island of Kauai. The presence of the submerged Hawaiian Ridge north of 23\(^\circ\)N does not appear to exert a strong influence upon the path of the NHRC after it detaches from the islands. This is likely because the permanent thermocline in this region exists shallower than the ridge topography. (To this end, the “North Hawaiian Island Current” may be a more suitable name for the NHRC.)

The flow pattern derived from the surface drifter data does not support the theoretical findings of Mysak and Magaard (1983); in their theory, the geographically long Hawaiian Ridge is regarded as a solid barrier reflecting the westward propagating, first-mode baroclinic Rossby waves and the NHRC, a rectified mean current along the long Hawaiian Ridge. Our study suggests that along the Hawaiian Ridge system, the Hawaiian Islands are the only effective barrier for the surface-intensified baroclinic Rossby waves. As the length of the Hawaiian Islands is only comparable to the wavelengths of the incident baroclinic Rossby waves, the wave rectification mechanism is likely to be less effective in generating rectified boundary flows.

To examine the formation mechanisms for the NHRC, we used in this study a 2½-layer reduced-gravity North Pacific basin model with variable spatial resolution that focuses on the Hawaiian waters. The model is solely wind forced, using variations of Hellerman and Rosenstein’s (1983) monthly climatological winds. By and

**Table 1.** Transport values (in Sv) along 21.7\(^\circ\)N east of the Hawaiian Islands determined from (a) the island rule according to Eqs. (8)–(10), (b) the model result of the base case shown in Fig. 13, and (c) the multiyear ADCP measurement of Firing (1996).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(T_{\text{I}})</th>
<th>(T_{\text{model}})</th>
<th>(T_{\text{ADCP}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Island rule</td>
<td>–9.2</td>
<td>–12.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>–8.6</td>
<td>–11.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCP data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 13.** Per-unit-length, meridional transport profile, \(v_h\), along 21.7\(^\circ\)N east of Oahu Island. The net meridional transport (–8.6 Sv) consists of the contribution from the interior Sverdrup flow (–11.9 Sv) and that from the western boundary current (3.3 Sv).
large, the model successfully simulated the observed features of the mean NHRC: its source water, its transport value, and its paths both along the island chain and thereafter. One important outcome from the modeling work is that the mean NHRC is due primarily to the mean rather than to the time-varying wind forcing. The presence of the first-mode baroclinic Rossby waves has a very weak effect in changing the flow pattern of the mean NHRC.

With this model result in mind, we applied the steady circulation theory of Godfrey (1989). It is argued that the mean NHRC exists due to the mass imbalance between the latitudinally dependent interior Sverdrup flow and the net meridional flow constrained by the presence of the Hawaiian Islands. The net meridional transport is a large-scale quantity as determined by the Godfrey's island rule. This proposed formation mechanism for the NHRC explains well the model results; more importantly, it is consistent with the observational results available to us around the Hawaiian waters. Finally, the focus of the present study has been on the formation mechanism of the mean NHRC. As we noted in the introduction, fluctuations on monthly to interannual timescales are commonly observed in the NHRC. Future studies are needed to unravel the time-dependent nature of this boundary current.
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APPENDIX

Interpolation by the Kriging Method

The kriging method of Hansen and Herman (1989) is used in this study to interpolate the irregularly spaced satellite fixes to a regular grid. In this method, the vector $\mathbf{x}$ containing irregularly sampled latitude or longitude fixes (treated independently) is considered to contain a true signal $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ plus measurement noise $\mathbf{\epsilon}$:

$$ x(t_i) = x_i = \hat{x}_i + \mathbf{\epsilon}_i. \quad (A1) $$

The noise is assumed to be uncorrelated with itself and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$, with a zero mean and fixed variance $\langle \mathbf{\epsilon}^2 \rangle$:

$$ \langle \mathbf{\epsilon} \rangle = \langle \hat{\mathbf{x}} \rangle, \text{i.e., } \langle \mathbf{\epsilon} \rangle = 0 \quad (A2a) $$

$$ \langle \mathbf{\epsilon}_i^2 \rangle = \delta_{ii} \langle \mathbf{\epsilon}^2 \rangle \quad (A2b) $$

$$ \langle \mathbf{\epsilon}_i \hat{x}_j \rangle = 0. \quad (A2c) $$

An estimate $\hat{x}_i$ of $x_i$ at time $t_i$ is constructed as

$$ \hat{x}_i = w_i x_i, \quad (A3) $$

where the repeated index implies a sum over $i$ from 1 to $n$ ($n = 10$ in our interpolations), and the weights $w_i$ are chosen such that

$$ \langle \hat{x}_i - x_i^e \rangle = 0 \quad (A4a) $$

$$ \langle (\hat{x}_i - x_i^e)^2 \rangle = \text{minimum}. \quad (A4b) $$

Condition (A4a) constrains the weights such that

$$ \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1, \quad (A5) $$

while condition (A4b) requires minimizing

$$ \sigma_i^2 = \langle (\hat{x}_i - x_i^e)^2 \rangle = \langle \hat{x}_i^2 \rangle - 2w_i \langle \hat{x}_i \hat{x}_j \rangle + w_i w_j \langle \hat{x}_i \hat{x}_j \rangle + \langle \mathbf{\epsilon}^2 \rangle w_i w_j \quad (A6) $$

To do this, one needs the autocorrelation function $\langle \hat{x}_i \hat{x}_j \rangle$. The approach taken by Hansen and Herman (1989) is to assume knowledge of a structure function $S_{ij}$.

$$ S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \langle (x_i - x_j)^2 \rangle, \quad (A7a) $$

$$ \langle \hat{x}_i \hat{x}_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle x_i^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle x_j^2 \rangle - S_{ij}. \quad (A7b) $$

In terms of $S_{ij}$, the error $\sigma_i^2$ is

$$ \sigma_i^2 = 2w_i S_{ii} - w_i w_j S_{ij} + \langle \mathbf{\epsilon}^2 \rangle w_i w_j. \quad (A8) $$

By minimizing $\sigma_i^2$ with respect to the weights, one finds that

$$ w_i S_{ii} - w_i \langle \mathbf{\epsilon}^2 \rangle + \lambda = S_{ii}, \quad (A9) $$

where $\lambda$ is an unknown Lagrange multiplier introduced to incorporate constraint (A4a). This result may be multiplied by $\sum w_i (=1)$ to find

$$ \sigma_i^2 = w_i S_{ii} + \lambda. \quad (A10) $$

Equation (A9) consists of $n$ equations and $n + 1$ unknowns (counting $\lambda$). One may eliminate $\lambda$ from this set of equations by subtracting each $i^{th}$ equation from the $(i - 1)^{th}$ equation. With inclusion of condition (A4a), one is left with a set of $n$ equations and $n$ unknowns, and may solve for the interpolation weights.

After applying this interpolation technique to 6.5 years of drifter data from the tropical Pacific Ocean, Hansen and Poulin (1996) derived generic structure functions for the tropical Pacific Ocean. They used the fractional Brownian motion function

$$ \hat{S}_\sigma = d |t_i - t|^\beta, \quad (A11) $$
for which $\beta = 1$ models pure Brownian motion and $\beta = 2$ results in strictly linear motion. For the north tropical Pacific Ocean, Hansen and Poulain found optimal values for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of

$$10^2 \alpha = 1.152(\degree)^2, \quad \beta = 1.604 \text{ (latitude)}$$

$$10^2 \alpha = 1.912(\degree)^2, \quad \beta = 1.846 \text{ (longitude)}.$$ 

We used these first-guess values for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and adjusted them in a second iterative step, which minimized the variance between observed and interpolated points (Hansen and Poulain 1996). Values for

$$a_5 = 2.44$$

provided by service ARGOS; standard deviations of the fixes were 2.44 \times 10^{-3} \text{ o in latitude and 6.08 \times 10^{-3} \text{ o in longitude.}}$$
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